Illumina Sequencing Error Profiles and Quality Control

RNA-seq Workflow



Quality Checks: Raw Data



FASTA

>SRR014849.1 EIXKN4201CFU84 length=93

GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCTTTTTTTTTTGTTTGGAACCGAAAGGGTTTTGAATTTCAAACCCTTTTCGGTTTCCAACCTTCCAAAGCAATGCCAATA

>gi|340780744|ref|NC_015850.1| Acidithiobacillus caldus SM-1 chromosome, complete genome ATGAGTAGTCATTCAGCGCCGACAGCGTTGCAAGATGGAGCCGCGCTGTGGTCCGCCCTATGCGTCCAACTGGAGCTCGTCACGAG TCCGCAGCAGTTCAATACCTGGCTGCGGCCCCTGCGTGGCGAATTGCAGGGTCATGAGCTGCGCCTGCTCGCCCCCAATCCCTTCG TCCGCGACTGGGTGCGTGAACGCATGGCCGAACTCGTCAAGGAACAGCTGCAGCGGATCGCTCCGGGTTTTGAGCTGGTCTTCGCT CAACCCAGCCTTCAACTTCCAGTCCTACGTCGAAGGGAAGTCCAATCAGCTCGCCCTGGCGGCAGCCCGCCAGGTTGCCCAGCATC CAGGCAAATCCTACAACCCACTGTACATTTATGGTGGTGTGGGCCTCGGCAAGACGCACCTCATGCAGGCCGTGGGCAACGATATC CATCAACGACTTCAAACAGCGTTATCGCAAGCTGGACGCCCTGCTCATCGACGACATCCAGTTCTTTGCGGGGCAAGGACCGCACCC

>gi|129295|sp|P01013|OVAX_CHICK GENE X PROTEIN (OVALBUMIN-RELATED) QIKDLLVSSSTDLDTTLVLVNAIYFKGMWKTAFNAEDTREMPFHVTKQESKPVQMMCMNNSFNVATLPAE

FASTQ: FASTA with Quality scores

@SRR014849.1 EIXKN4201CFU84 length=93

GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCTTTTTTTTTTTGTTTGGAACCGAAAGGGTTTTGAATTTCAAACCCTTTTCGGTTTCCAACCTTCCAAAGCAATGCCAATA

+SRR014849.1 EIXKN4201CFU84 length=93

3+&\$#""""""""""""7F@71,'";C?,B;?6B;:EA1EA1EA5'9B:?:#9EA0D@2EA5':>5?:%A;A8A;?9B;D@/=<?7=9<2A8==

Line	Description
1	Always begins with '@' and then inform
2	The actual DNA sequence
3	Always begins with a '+' and sometime
4	Has a string of characters which repres

nation about the read

es the same info in line 1

sent the quality score

FASTQ Quailty Encoding

@SRR014849.1 EIXKN4201CFU84 length=93

GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGCTTTTTTTTTGTTTGGAACCGAAAGGGTTTTGAATTTCAAACCCTTTTCGGTTTCCAACCTTCCAAAGCAATGCCAATA

+SRR014849.1 EIXKN4201CFU84 length=93

3+&\$#""""""""""""7F@71,'";C?,B;?6B;:EA1EA1EA5'9B:?:#9EA0D@2EA5':>5?:%A;A8A;?9B;D@/=<?7=9<2A8==

Quality encoding: !"#\$%&'()*+,-./0 Quality score: 0.....10....

 $Q = -10 \times log10(P)$, where P is the probability t

The legend above provides the mapping of quality scores (Phred-33) to the quality encoding characters. Different quality encoding scales exist (differing by offset in the ASCII table), but note the most commonly used one is fastqsanger.



	FGHI	ABCDI	;<=>?():;	456789	1234
	40			• • •	20	• • • 4
roneous	is er	call	base	a	that	itv

FASTQ Quality Scores

These probability values are the results from the base calling algorithm and dependent on how much signal was captured for the base incorporation. The score values can be interpreted as follows:

Phred Quality Score	Probability of incorrect base call	Base call accuracy
10	1 in 10	90%
20	1 in 100	99%
30	1 in 1000	99.9%
40	1 in 10,000	99.99%
50	1 in 100,000	99.999%
60	1 in 1,000,000	99.9999%





A good quality sample



Quality scores across all bases (Illumina 1.5 encoding)

A not-so-good quality sample

Error profiles: Technical Sequencer Problems

Manifold burst in cycle 26

Quality scores across all bases (Illumina 1.5 encoding)



See http://bioinfo-core.org/index.php/9th_Discussion-28_October_2010 for more example

Specific cycles lost

Quality scores across all bases (Illumina 1.5 encoding)



Error dependency on technology

Base-calling for next-generation sequencing platforms. Brief Bioinform 2011, 12(5):489-497



Illumina









Illumina: signal decay



Illumina: phasing





Illumina: phasing



Underclustered

- Optimal Clustering -



 \rightarrow

Illumina: flow cell clusters

Overclustered



mixed clusters





Illumina: optical effects





Positional sequence bias

PCR Artifacts



Duplicated sequences

Over-represented sequences

	sequence
1	ATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGC
151	ATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGC
2	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGAAT
152	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCGAATTGAAT
153	CTTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGC
3	CTTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGC
4	NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN

Read Frequency Distribution

	count
AGGTTTAAACGAATTCGCCC	482185
AGGTTTAAACGAATTCGCCC	271724
TTAGCGGCCGCGAATTCGCC	159936
TTAGCGGCCGCGAATTCGCC	105273
AGGTTTAAACGAATTCGCCC	46872
AGGTTTAAAACGAATTCGCCC	43212
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN	13142

Contamination

> gnl|uv|NGB00105.1:1-219 pCR4-TOPO multiple cloning site Length=219

Score = 100 bits (50), Expect = 9e-19Identities = 50/50 (100%), Gaps = 0/50 (0%) Strand=Plus/Plus

Query 1 ATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGCAGGTTTAAACGAATTCGCCC 50

Sbjct 43 ATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGACTAGTCCTGCAGGTTTAAACGAATTCGCCC 92

Quality Checks for Raw Data

Quality Checks: Raw Data

All NGS analyses require that the **quality of the raw data** is assessed prior to any downstream analysis.

The quality checks at this stage in the workflow include:

- 1. Checking the quality of the base calls to ensure that there were no issues during sequencing
- 2. Examining the reads to ensure their quality metrics adhere to our **expectations** for our experiment
- 3. Exploring reads for **contamination**

The tool **FASTQC** is often used to assess these metrics, and it generates a <u>QC report</u> for each sample.

Quality Checks: Raw Data

Raw Data QC Goals:

- Identify sequencing problems and determine whether there is a need to contact the sequencing facility
- Identify over-represented contaminating sequences
- Gain insight into library complexity (rRNA contamination, duplications)
- Ensure organism is properly represented by %GC content

These materials have been developed by members of the teaching team at the <u>Harvard Chan Bioinformatics Core (HBC)</u>. These are open access materials distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY 4.0)</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

